Evaluation Of Information System Governance Capability Level Of Engineering Construction Services Firm Using COBIT Framework 5

Wisnu Adi Nugroho¹, Rudi Sutomo^{2*}

^{1,2} Information Systems, Faculty of Engineering and Informatics, Multimedia Nusantara University, Scientia Boulevard, Gading Serpong, Tangerang, Banten 15810 *Corresponding Author: Email: <u>rudi.sutomo@umn.ac.id</u>

Abstract.

Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering is a company in engineering construction services. Not only construction services Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering also has services in the field of non-construction such as operation and maintenance. There is no quality management standard in the company expected to increase productivity and superiority over competitors; the company needs a schedule to conduct periodic audits of information security management. It requires recommendations for improving the Information Security Management System), and the company hopes to make a standard document for data and information security risk governance aimed at supervision and review of the Information Security Management System. To make sure that SI operations within the Company have been carried out and objectives have been supported as effectively as possible, it is required to conduct an information system audit using the Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT) framework based on the needs that have been described. The research on evaluating IT governance abilities using the COBIT 5 framework APO13 process ends at level 1 with a status of 82.12. EDM03 and APO12 processes terminate at level 2, with a Largely achieved status of 82.12 for EDM03 and 84.41 for APO12.

Keywords: APO12, APO13, COBIT 5, EDM03, and Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering.

I. INTRODUCTION

Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering is a company in engineering construction services. Not only construction services Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering also has services in the field of non-construction such as operation and maintenance. When supported by effective IT governance, which begins with thorough planning and ends with implementation, the use of information technology within the organization can be successfully carried out. IT Governance, as defined by the ITGI (IT Governance Institute), is a part of business operations that are seamlessly integrated. It consists of organizational leadership structures and operational procedures to guarantee that the information technology is implemented successfully and in line with the company's goals [1][8][12].

The company does not have an established schedule for periodic information security management audits and needs recommendations for improving the Information Security Management System. The company also needs not to have a quality management standard projected to increase productivity and give it an edge over competitors. The company hopes to create a standard document for data and information security risk governance aimed at supervising and reviewing Informatics. To make sure that SI operations within the Company have been carried out and objectives have been supported as effectively as possible, it is required to conduct an audit of the information systems utilizing the Control Objectives for Information Technology (COBIT) framework based on the needs that have been described. One of the frameworks for IT governance that suggests guiding principles for IT governance is COBIT 5 [2]. To assist businesses in achieving the goals and management facets of IT governance, COBIT 5 offers a framework and analysis[3][4][13].

II. METHODS

Research Methods

The framework of COBIT 5 was employed as the study methodology. Additionally, COBIT is intended to be a solution to solve difficulties in IT Governance in identifying and managing risks and benefits associated with information resources. COBIT serves to bring together all control needs and technological issues[6][10][11].

1. Observation

Observation is a way of collecting data by direct observation at the IT department of Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering by observing systems and operational activities and systematically recording the objects to be studied.

2. Interview

Get information by asking directly to respondents or parties concerned. The interview results help obtain information and data regarding information system governance in the IT department. The interview was conducted according to the standards of the COBIT 5 framework and provided documents referring to the APO01, APO12, and APO13 processes.

3. Questionnaire

Distribute a questionnaire that will be filled out by the parties concerned with existing problems. Questionnaires are given already with the conditions set by the COBIT 5 framework. The questionnaire will be given to IT staff who handle information systems at Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering. The APO01, APO12, and APO13 domain questionnaires were developed using questions aligned with the COBIT 5 framework.



Fig 1. Framework Research

Figure 1 shows the approach is the framework carried out in this study in evaluating information system governance at Engineering Construction Services Firm. The framework is divided into 3 stages[5][7][9], namely:

- 1. Planning
 - Pre-assessment

Collect information related to research by making observations and identification first at Engineering Construction Services Firm.

• Document Identification

At this stage, document identification analyzes the required documents based on the output standards of COBIT 5, namely the EDM03, APO12, and APO13 processes.

• Source Identification

RACI (Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, and Informed) is a framework for measuring the capabilities of information system governance, and it is used to identify resource personnel[14].

2. Documentation

• Data Collection

Collect evidence in the form of process outputs in each process based on data at the planning stage, namely EDM03, APO12, and APO13.

• Observation

Data collection by direct observation and recording at Engineering Construction Services Firm systematically on the object studied.

• Interview

Obtain information by interviewing directly with the respondents or the party concerned. Discuss information system governance.

- Capability Scoring The capability level given is based on process capability consisting of level 0 – level 5 concerning COBIT 5.
- 3. Follow Up
 - Finding

This process auditors identify findings on processes that still need to be met according to COBIT 5 standards which refer to EDM03, APO12, and APO13 domain activities.

• Fishbone

Identify and analyze unmet findings according to COBIT 5 standards that refer to EDM03, APO12, and APO13 domain activities.

Recommendation

Provide recommendations and suggestions to the IT department of the Engineering Construction Services Firm so that the department will be, referring which refers to EDM03, APO12, and APO13 domain activities[15].

• Follow Up Recommendation

To improve the quality of information system governance based on the COBIT 5 framework standard, provide follow-up to recommendations made in the previous process to the IT department of the Engineering Construction Services Firm.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Planning

Collection of information data related to research by making observations at the company and direct identification at the place of PT. Wigafsindo Dynamics Instrument Engineering. To create process domains within the organization, the identification process entails identifying IT points and trigger events, mapping enterprise goals to IT-related goals, and mapping IT-related goals into COBIT 5. Talking with linked people helps with the identifying procedure.

	Enterprise Goals	IT-Related Goals	
Financial	2 Portfolio of competitive products and service	1,5,7,8,12,17	
Fillanciai	4 Compliance with external laws and regulations	2,10	
Customer	7 Business Service	4,10.14	
	10 Optimization of service delivery cost	4,6,11	
Internal	13 Managed business change programs	1,3,13	
Learning and Growth	16 Skilled and motivated people	16	

Table 1.	Mapping	Enterprise	Goals T	o IT-Related	Goals
----------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Enterprise goals are mapped to IT-related goals in Table 1. Mr. Yohanes interviews the IT personnel at the Engineering Construction Services Firm, and Mr. Yohanes interviews the IT personnel to discover the enterprise goals. The results of the chosen enterprise goals will follow the process of converting corporate goals into IT-Related Goals of converting corporate goals into IT-Related Goals will be followed by the results of the chosen enterprise goals.

No.	IT-Related Goals	COBIT 5 Process
1	4 Financial – Business risk tied to finances and managed IT	EDM03, APO10, APO12, APO13, BAI01, BAI06, DSS01, DSS02, DSS03, DSS04, DSS05, DSS06, MEA01, MEA02, MEA03
2	7 Customer - Delivery of IT services to customers by business needs	EDM01, EDM02, EDM05, APO02, APO08, APO09, APO10, APO11, BAI02, BAI03, BAI04, BAI06, DSSO1, DSS02, DSS03, DSS04,DSS06, MEA01
3	10 Internal – The security of the programs and infrastructure used for information processing	EDM03, APO12, APO13, BAI06, DSS05
4	11 Internal – Improvement of IT resources, tools, and skills	EDM04, APO01, APO03, APO04, APO07, BAI04, BAI09, BAI10, DSS01, DSS03, MEA01
5	13 Internal - Program benefits are delivered on schedule, under budget, and in compliance with all specifications and quality standards.	APO05,APO07,APO11, APO12, BAI01,BAI05
6	16 Learning and growth – Skilled and driven IT and business employees	EDM02, APO01, APO02, APO04, APO07, APO08, BAI05, BAI08

Table 2. Mapping IT-Related Goals to COBIT 5 process

The COBIT 5 process's IT-Related objectives mapping is shown in Table 2. The primary (P) in the COBIT process listed in Table 1 is used to decide the procedure.

Fable	3.	Mapping	COBIT	5	process
ant	.	mapping	CODII	\sim	p1000000

NO.	IT-Related Goals	COBIT 5 Process
1.	Internal – Security of information processing infrastructure and applications	EDM03,APO12, APO13

Based on the IT-Related Goals mappings findings and interviews with relevant IT workers, table 3 shows the COBIT 5 process mapping outcomes, one of which is Mr. Yohanes, ITCS at Engineering Construction Services Firm. From the mapping results, the 3 focus domains to be measured are EDM03, APO12, and APO13, which focus on the Internal – Security of information processing infrastructure and applications listed in Table 3.

2. Result of Data Analysis

The capability score is calculated using the average value of each score in each sub-process of each level. The following tables show the outcomes of the calculation of the capacity score:

	EDM03 (Ensuring Risk Optimization)				
No.	Process	Score	Source		
1	EDM03.01	87,5	Head IT Departement		
2	EDM03.02	86,6			
3	EDM03.03	87,5			
	Average	87,2			

The outcomes of determining the capability level score in the EDM03 process are shown in Table 4. The table contains information on the capability score on EDM03, with an average of 87.2. With this score, it can be concluded that the EDM03 process continues at level two with Fully Achieved status; thus, this process can go to the next level, namely level 2, because to rise to that level must reach the minimum limit of 85.

 Table 5. EDM03 Level 2 Capability Score Calculation Results Table

EDM03 (Ensuring Risk Optimization				
No.	Process	Score	Source	
1.	EDM03 PA 2.1	78,3	Head IT Departement	
2.	EDM03 PA 2.2	86		
	Average	82,15		

The capability grade score for the EDM03 level 2 process is calculated, and the results are shown in Table 5. The table contains information on the capability score on EDM03, with an average of 82.15. With this score, it can be concluded that the EDM03 process stops at level two with the status of Largely

Achieved. Thus, this process must go to a different level, namely level 3, because to rise to that level must reach the minimum limit of 85.

APO1	2 (Assessing and Managin	ng IT Risk)		
Process	Score	Source		
APO12.01	87,14			
APO12.02	87,85			
APO12.03	86,42	Used IT Demonstrate and		
APO12.04	87	Head II Departement		
APO12.05	86,6			
Average	87,002			

Table 6. Table of Capability Score Calculation Results APO12

The outcomes of determining the competence level score in the APO12 method are shown in Table 6. The table contains information on the capability score of APO12 with an average of 87,002; with this score, it can be concluded that the APO12 process continues at level two with Fully Achieved status; thus, this process can go to the next level, namely level 2 because to go to that level must reach the minimum limit of 85.

 Table 7. Table of APO12 Level 2 Capability Score Calculation Results

	APO12 (Assessing and Managing IT Risk)				
No.	Process	Score	Source		
1.	APO12 PA 2.1	83	Head IT Department		
2.	APO12 PA 2.2	85,83	Head II Departement		
	Average	84,415			

The capacity level score was determined using the APO12 level 2 process, and the findings are shown in Table 7. The table contains information on the capability score on APO12, with an average of 84,415. With this score, it can be concluded that the APO12 process stops at level two with the status of Largely Achieved. Thus, this process cannot go to the next level, namely level 3, because to rise to that level must reach the minimum limit of 85

APO13 (Manage Security)				
No.	Proses	Score	Source	
1.	APO13.01	84,28		
2.	APO13.02	79,28	Head IT Departement	
3.	APO13.03	83		
	Average	82,18		

The outcomes of determining the competence level score in the APO13 method are displayed in Table 8. The table contains information on the capability score on APO13, with an average of 82.18. With this score, it can be concluded that the APO13 process stops at level one with the status of Largely Achieved. Thus, this process must go to a different level, namely level 2, because to rise to that level must reach the minimum limit of 85.



Fig 2. Target Level Comparison Chart and Current Level

http://ijstm.inarah.co.id

Figure 2. is the result of a calculation that determines the current level of the company with the target of the company, which can be explained below:

- 1. The results of the APO13 calculation have yet to meet the target set by the company; the company in APO13 wants to reach level 3 as its goal, but the results of interviews and calculations carried out stop at level 1.
- 2. The calculation results of EDM03 and APO12 have yet to meet the targets set by the company, the target level desired by the company in EDM03 and APO12 is level 3, but the results of interviews and calculations carried out stop at level 2.

3. Recommendation

They are providing recommendations to the company so that the resulting process will be more optimal in the EDM03, APO12, and APO13 processes. To achieve the next capability level, some recommendations can be applied to Engineering Construction Services Firms managing IT. **Table 9.** EDM03 Improvement Recommendation Table

EDM03 Repair Recommendation		
1.	Implementing Security Risk Management	
2.	Companies need to create a Risk Governance Policy	
3.	IT staff need written documentation, namely SOPs for Risk Management in	
	responding quickly to changes in risk and reporting	
4.	The company needs to determine the direction of decisions on integrating	
	strategies and implementing risks that exist in IT Staff.	
5.	Make standard rules so that employees cannot violate these rules.	

Table 9 is a table of EDM03 improvement recommendations. The recommendations are derived from findings that have been found on the existence of outputs that have been given. This recommendation was made with the aim that the resulting EDM03 process will be more optimal for achieving the next capability level.

Table 10. APO12 Improvement Recommendation Table

	1
	APO12 Improvement Recommendation
1.	Forming a special IT risk management control team to achieve goals
2.	Create standards and policies in implementing IT risk management and optimization
3.	Conduct further monitoring related to emerging risks, such as risks from several aspects
	and scenarios.
4.	Forming documents containing principles, policies, and risk objectives that will be
	analyzed regularly and measured and evaluated related to their implementation.
5.	Improve the implementation of risk management, which has 6 processes, including data
	collection, risk analysis, risk profile, risk articulation, establishing risk portfolios, and risk
	response.

Table 10 is a table of APO12 improvement recommendations. The recommendations are derived from findings that have been found on the existence of outputs that have been given. This recommendation was made with the aim that the resulting APO12 process will be more optimal to achieve the next capability level.

Table 11. APO13 Improvement Recommendation Table

APO13 Improvement Recommendation		
1.	Identify performance objectives of the information technology security management process	
2.	Conduct regular audits of information security management, and based on the findings of the	
	SMKI audit, provide suggestions for enhancing the information security management	
	system.	
3.	Control the interfaces between the parties in charge of information technology security.	
4.	Establish the need for the results of the work of the information technology security	
	management process.	
5.	Establish the requirements of documentation and control of deliverables.	
6.	Create a Data and Information Security Audit Governance Standard document aimed at	
	supervising and reviewing the Information Security Management System (SMKI)	
7.	Planning and supervising the performance of the information technology security	
	management process.	

Table 11 is a table of APO13 improvement recommendations. The recommendations are derived from findings that have been found on the existence of outputs that have been given. This recommendation was made with the aim that the resulting APO13 process will be more optimal for achieving the next capability level.

IV. CONCLUSION

We can make the following inferences based on the findings of research conducted by Engineering Construction Services Firm Instrument Engineering to evaluate information technology governance skills using the COBIT 5 framework:

- The APO13 process terminates at level 1 with a status of "Largely achieved" at 82.12 for the APO13 process and a gap of 2, meaning that this process cannot advance to level 2, as to advance to level 2; these two processes must both reach the minimum threshold of 85. With a status of "Largely achieved" (82.12 for the EDM03 process and 84.41 for the APO12 process), the EDM03 and APO12 processes halt at level 2. Since there is a gap of 1, these two processes can not move to the next level, level 3, because they both fall short of the required threshold of 85.
- 2. Based on the outcomes of PT's assessment of information technology governance using the COBIT 5 framework, the applicable recommendations are detailed in Chapter IV of the recommendations section. Wifgasindo, among others, implements quality management standards because they can boost productivity and give them an edge over rivals, conducts routine information security management audits, and uses the findings of those audits to suggest ways to strengthen the information security management system and Creating Data and Information Security Audit Governance Standards documents aimed at supervising and reviewing the Information Security Management System.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to Universitas Multimedia Nusantara (UMN) for facilitating this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. Putra and R. Rahayu, "Peranan Implementasi Tata Kelola Teknologi Informasi (IT Governance) sebagai Faktor Penting dalam Meningkatkan Kinerja Perusahaan," *J. Inov. Pendidik. Ekon.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 01, 2020, doi: 10.24036/011077110.
- [2] J. F. Audry, F. S. Lee, W. Darma, P. Rosadi, and R. Ekklesia, "Audit Sistem Informasi Menggunakan COBIT 5 Pada Perusahaan Penyedia Layanan Internet," *Ilm. Rekayasa dan Manaj. Sist. Inf.*,vol.8, no.1, pp. 17–22, 2022.
- [3] C. A. Wahyuningtyas, I. K. A. Purnawan, and N. M. I. M. Mandenni, "Audit Tata Kelola TI Perusahaan X Dengan COBIT 5," *J. Ilm. Merpati (Menara Penelit. Akad. Teknol. Informasi)*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 244–252, 2019, [Online]. Available: https://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/merpati/article/view/54250/32985.
- [4] Safrida, S., Tannady, H., Solissa, E. M., Sapulete, H., & Al Haddar, G. (2023). Strategic Leadership Analysis of School Principal to Improve Learning Quality. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kewirausahaan*, 11(2), 391-399.
- [5] R. Patawala and A. D. Manuputty, "Audit Sistem Informasi Pada Dinas Perpustakaan Dan Kearsipan Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Framework Cobit 4.1 Domain Monitor and Evaluate," *Sebatik*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 42–49, 2021, doi: 10.46984/sebatik.v25i1.1322.
- [6] Gallegos, Audit, And Control Of Information System, 5th ed. 2013.
- [7] R. R. Suryono, D. Darwis, and S. I. Gunawan, "Audit Tata Kelola Teknologi Informasi Menggunakan Framework Cobit 5 (Studi Kasus: Balai Besar Perikanan Budidaya Laut Lampung)," J. Teknoinfo, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 16, 2018, doi: 10.33365/jti.v12i1.38.
- [8] Lubis, H., & Pratama, K., Safrida, S. (2022). HR related antecedes to sustainability reporting in Indonesian public listed firm: The mediating role of employee committeemen. *Cuadernos de Economía*, 45(128), 87-97.
- [9] ISACA. And J. W. Lainhart, *COBIT 5: A business framework for the governance and management of enterprise IT COBIT 5*, vol. 34, no. 1. 2012.
- [10] R. S. Naibaho, "Peranan Dan Perencanaan Teknologi Informasi Dalam Perusahaan," J. War., no. April, p. 4, 2017, [Online]. Available: https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/290731-peranan-dan-perencanaan-teknologi-inform-ad00d595.pdf.

- [11] Sarkum, S., Syamsuri, A. R., & Supriadi, S. (2020). The role of multi-actor engagement. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 6(4), 176.
- [12] P. D. Driya, I. G. L. A. R. Putra, and I. M. A. Pradyana, "Teknik Pengumpulan Data Pada Audit Sistem Informasi Dengan Framework Cobit," Inser. Inf. Syst. Emerg. *Technol. J.*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 70, 2022, doi: 10.23887/insert.v2i2.40235.
- [13] Supriadi, ., Dalimunthe, R. F., Lumbanraja, P., & Tarmizi, H. B. (2021). The Antecedent Of Educational Staff Contextual Performance In Medan City Private Universities. Archives of Business Research, 9(2), 316–338. https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.92.9817
- [14] I. J. Aritonang, E. D. Udayanti, and N. Iksan, "Audit Keamanan Sistem Informasi Menggunakan Framework Cobit 5 (APO13)," *ITEJ (Information Technol. Eng. Journals)*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 6–10, 2018, doi: 10.24235/itej.v3i2.27.
- [15] R. Nurhidayat and S. Handayaningsih, "Analisis Manajemen Risiko Pada Layanan Pengunduran Diri Mahasiswa Menggunakan Framework COBIT 5 Fokus Pada Mengelola Risiko (APO12)," JSTIE (Jurnal Sarj. Tek. Inform., vol. 7, no. 1, p. 69, 2019, doi: 10.12928/jstie.v7i1.15806.
- [16] R. G. Mufti and Y. T. Mursityo, "Evaluasi Tata Kelola Sistem Keamanan Teknologi Informasi Menggunakan Framework COBIT 5 Fokus Proses APO13 dan DSS05 (Studi Pada PT Martina Berto Tbk)," J. Pengemb. Teknol. Inf. dan Ilmu Komput., vol. 1, no. 12, pp. 1622–1631, 2017.
- [17] Rahayu, S., & Riana, Z. (2020). Determinants of Fraud Pentagon Theory Perspective and Its Effects on Fraudulent Financial Statement in Mining Companies Which Is Listed In Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*, 3(3), 1995-2010.
- [18] A. D. Farida, "Informasi Koperasi Syariah Menggunakan Framework," vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2020.
- [19] K. N. Rachmah and S. Mukaromah, "Perangkat Pengukuran Kapabilitas Ti Pt Kereta Api Indonesia (Persero) Domain Apo01," Pros. Semin. Nas. Teknol. Dan Sist. Inf. 2021, vol. 1, no. November, pp. 137–148, 2021, Available:http://sitasi.upnjatim.ac.id/index.php/sitasi/article/view/111%0Ahttp://sitasi.upnjatim.ac.id/index.php/s itasi/article/download/111/18.
- [20] H. Maulida Kurnia and R. Nur Shofa, "AUDIT TATA KELOLA TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI MENGGUNAKAN FRAMEWORK COBIT 5 BERDASARKAN DOMAIN APO12 Penulis Korespondensi," 2018, [Online]. Available: http://www.jurnal.umk.ac.id/sitech.